

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION) DOCKET NO.
On Its Own Motion) 10-0272
-vs-)
CENTRAL ILLINOIS LIGHT COMPANY)
d/b/a AmerenCILCO)
)
Reconciliation of revenues)
collected under power procurement)
riders with actual costs)
associated with power procurement)
expenditures.)

Springfield, Illinois
Thursday, November 18, 2010

Met, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.

BEFORE :

MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES :

MR. MATTHEW R. TOMC
Corporate Counsel
1901 Chouteau Avenue
P.O. Box 66149
Mail Code 1310
St. Louis, Missouri 63166
Ph. (314) 554-4673

(Appearing via teleconference on
behalf of the Ameren Illinois
Company)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Carla J. Boehl, Reporter
CSR #084-002710

1 APPEARANCES: (Continued)

2 MS. NICOLE T. SARA
3 OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL
4 160 South LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Ph. (312) 793-8184

5 (Appearing via teleconference on
6 behalf of Staff witnesses of the
Illinois Commerce Commission)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1		<u>I N D E X</u>			
2					
3	<u>WITNESS</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
4	(None)				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13		<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
14					
15				<u>MARKED</u>	<u>ADMITTED</u>
	(None)				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE JONES: Good morning. I call for hearing the following docketed matters: 10-0272, Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion versus Central Illinois Light Company; 10-0273, Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion versus Central Illinois Public Service Company; and 10-0274, Illinois Commerce Commission on its own motion versus Illinois Power Company. These dockets are all identified on e-Docket as reconciliation of revenues collected under power procurement riders with actual costs associated with power procurement expenditures.

These cases will be called simultaneously this morning. Whether they will be formally consolidated is not known at this time but will be addressed shortly in this hearing.

At this time may we have the appearances orally for the record? You need not spell your name, but please give us your business address and phone number. We will start with the appearances on behalf of Central Illinois Light Company, et al.

1 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor. Matthew R. Tomc,
2 appearing on behalf of the Ameren Illinois Company.

3 Your Honor, effective October 1 of
4 2010, the Central Illinois Light Company, Central
5 Illinois Public Service Company and the Illinois
6 Power Company merged, and the merged entity that
7 survived was the Central Illinois Public Service
8 Company that then was renamed to the Ameren Illinois
9 Company. The Ameren Illinois Company will be the
10 respondent in this proceeding.

11 My address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue,
12 P.O. Box 66149, Mail Code 1310, St. Louis, Missouri
13 63166. My phone number is (314) 554-4673.

14 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Other appearances?

15 MS. SARA: On behalf of the Staff of the
16 Illinois Commerce Commission, Nicole T. Sara. My
17 address is 160 South LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
18 Chicago, Illinois 60601. My phone number is
19 (312) 793-8184. And for the record also present in
20 the hearing room is Theresa Ebrey of the Accounting
21 Division.

22 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other

1 appearances? Let the record show there are not, at
2 least at this time.

3 As noted when the case was called for
4 hearing a couple minutes ago, e-Docket reflects three
5 docketed matters here, 10-0272, 3 and 4. What is the
6 position of the parties in terms of whether these
7 three docketed proceedings should be consolidated
8 into one?

9 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, this is the Company, and
10 the Company would not oppose consolidation of the
11 three dockets into one docket, and can present all of
12 the relevant necessary information at one proceeding
13 instead of three.

14 That stated, if the Staff prefers to
15 have three separate proceedings, we can also go down
16 that path and provide the same information in that
17 manner.

18 JUDGE JONES: Thank you.

19 MS. SARA: Your Honor, Staff has not discussed
20 this yet with the Company, but I might defer to
21 Ms. Ebrey for that judgment.

22 JUDGE JONES: You want to go off the record and

1 talk to her?

2 MS. SARA: If I might for a moment.

3 JUDGE JONES: At this time we hereby go off the
4 record to permit that to happen.

5 (Whereupon there was then had an
6 off-the-record discussion.)

7 JUDGE JONES: Back on the record. There was an
8 off-the-record discussion for the purposes indicated.
9 I believe there is an understanding at this time as
10 to how this will be handled. And as I understand it,
11 the two parties are agreeable to keeping the three
12 docketed matters separate, that is unconsolidated, at
13 least for the time being. But let me make sure. Is
14 that the preference of the parties?

15 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor.

16 MS. SARA: That is correct, Your Honor.

17 JUDGE JONES: And whether the cases will be
18 eventually consolidated is a question that we will
19 get back to on a later date.

20 Was there anything else the parties
21 wanted to note for the record with regard to that?

22 MS. SARA: Yes, Your Honor. I think that

1 besides the consolidation we would like to set dates
2 for the Company direct and a status to follow.

3 JUDGE JONES: Do the parties have a date to
4 mutually propose at this time for that?

5 MS. SARA: I believe that Staff and the Company
6 have agreed upon Friday, January 28, for Company
7 direct.

8 MR. TOMC: That is correct, Your Honor.

9 MS. SARA: And a status on Wednesday, February
10 23, or Thursday, February 24, depending on Your
11 Honor's availability.

12 JUDGE JONES: Is the 23rd at 10:00 a.m.
13 acceptable to both of you?

14 MS. SARA: Yes.

15 MR. TOMC: Yes, Your Honor.

16 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Do the parties have
17 anything else to propose or discuss from a scheduling
18 or related standpoint?

19 MR. TOMC: Nothing further for the Company,
20 Your Honor.

21 MS. SARA: From Staff just the understanding
22 that at that status we would hope that we would be

1 able to set the rest of the schedule.

2 JUDGE JONES: Okay, thank you. Let the record
3 show that the date for a filing by the Company of the
4 direct testimony in these dockets is January 28,
5 2011. After that there will be a status hearing on
6 February 23 at 10:00 a.m. Participation by telephone
7 at that status hearing will be permitted. That will
8 be available to discuss further scheduling, possible
9 consolidation of the matters and other prehearing
10 type items.

11 Do the parties have anything else to
12 take up on the record today before we conclude the
13 prehearing conference?

14 MR. TOMC: Your Honor, the Company would
15 request a copy of today's transcript.

16 JUDGE JONES: Anything further? All right.
17 There is not.

18 At this time then let the record show
19 that today's prehearing conference is over. Our
20 thanks to the persons at Ameren for setting up and
21 circulating the call-in number. At this time let the
22 record show that in accordance with the above

1 approved scheduling this matter is continued to a
2 status hearing date of February 23, 2011, at the hour
3 of 10:00 a.m.

4 (Whereupon the hearing in this
5 matter was continued until
6 February 23, 2011, at 10:00 a.m.
7 in Springfield, Illinois.)

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22